

ON APPROXIMATION OF JOINT FIXED POINTS

D.A. Serkov, Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics; Ural Federal University Named after B.N.Yeltsin, Ekaterinburg, Russian Federation, serkov@imm.uran.ru.

For a given partially ordered set (poset) and a given family of mappings of the poset into itself, we study the problem of the description of joint fixed points of this family. Well-known Tarski's theorem gives the structure of the set of joint fixed points of isotone automorphisms on a complete lattice. This theorem has several generalizations (see, e.g., Markowsky, Ronse) that weaken demands on the order structure and upgrade in an appropriate manner the assertion on the structure of the set of joint fixed points. However, there is a lack of the statements similar to Kantorovich or Kleene theorems, describing the set of joint fixed points in terms of convergent sequences of the operator degrees. The paper provides conditions on the poset and on the family; these conditions ensure that the iterative sequences of elements of this family approximate the set of joint fixed points. The result obtained develops in a constructive direction the mentioned theorems on joint fixed points.

Keywords: joint fixed points; iterative limit.

Introduction

The key object in the solution of the differential game of quality — the maximal stable bridge [1] — is the greatest fixed point of a special operator (programmed absorption operator) acting in the boolean of the extended phase space of controlled system [2]. In its turn, the action of this operator is described by actions of some operator family, in such a way that any joint fixed point of this family is a fixed point of the original operator. This relation makes it possible to describe the object of interest — the maximal stable bridge — in terms of the operator family with a relatively simple structure.

The paper presents the theorem on the joint fixed points of a family of mappings arising in connection with the above. This result develops in a constructive direction well-known Tarski's theorem [3, theorem 2] on the structure of the set of joint fixed points of automorphisms on a complete lattice. This theorem has a number of generalizations (see, e.g., [4, theorem 10; 5, corollary 3.3], weakening demands on the order structure and upgrading in an appropriate manner the assertion on the structure of the set of joint fixed points. However, there is a lack of known statements like the Kantorovich theorem (see [6]) or Kleene theorem that describe the set of joint fixed points in terms of convergent sequences of the operator degrees. Theorem 1 below fills this gap.

1. Results

Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set (poset). A set $C \subset X$ is called a *chain* if it is totally ordered by \preceq :

$$(x \preceq y) \vee (y \preceq x) \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

In particular, \emptyset is a chain. Following [4] we call a poset (X, \preceq) *chain-complete* poset if, for every chain $C \subset X$, there exists the least upper bound $\sup C$ of the chain C in X . In particular, a chain-complete poset (X, \preceq) contains the least element $\perp \in X$ (as the

least upper bound of the empty chain), and thus it is not empty. Let (W, \prec) and (X, \preceq) be chain complete posets and $f : W \mapsto X$. The mapping f is called *isotone* if $\forall x, y \in X$ $(x \prec y) \Rightarrow (f(x) \preceq f(y))$. We say that the mapping f is *chain-continuous* if, for any non-empty chain $C \subset W$, the equality $f(\sup C) = \sup\{f(w) : w \in C\}$ holds. We say that (X, \preceq) is a *complete* poset [7, p. 1.2.1], if there is the least element $\perp := \sup \emptyset$ and each directed set $D \subset X$ has the least upper bound $\sup D$. Recall that a set is called *directed* if any its finite subset has a majorant.

Let $X \neq \emptyset$ and a non-empty family \mathcal{F} of mappings $f : X \mapsto X \ \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$ be given. We call the set $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}) := \{x \in X \mid x \preceq f(x) \ \forall f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ the *extensivity domain* of the family \mathcal{F} . Denote the set of all finite compositions of mappings from \mathcal{F} by

$$\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}) := \{fg \dots h \mid f, g, \dots, h \in \mathcal{F}\};$$

here $fg \dots h$ stands for the composition of mappings f, g, \dots, h :

$$fg \dots h(x) := f(g(\dots h(x) \dots)) \quad \forall x \in X.$$

If $f = g = \dots = h$, then we denote $f^k := fg \dots h$, where $k := |\{f, g, \dots, h\}|$ is the number of elements in the composition $fg \dots h$. Thus, $\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}) \subset X^X$. We say that the family \mathcal{F} is *commutative* if

$$fg = gf \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Denote by $\mathbf{Fix}(f) \subset X$ the *set of fixed points* of mapping $f \in \mathcal{F}$: $\mathbf{Fix}(f) := \{x \in X \mid x = f(x)\}$. Finally, we denote by $\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \subset X$ the *set of joint fixed points* of the family \mathcal{F} : $\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) := \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{Fix}(f)$, i.e. $f(x) = x \ \forall x \in \mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \ \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 1. *Let (X, \preceq) be a chain-complete poset and let \mathcal{F} be a commutative family of isotone mappings from X into itself. Then*

- (i) *if X is a complete lattice, then $\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ is a complete lattice with respect to the induced order; thus it has the least element $\perp_{\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F})}$ and, in particular, $\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$ (Tarski);*
- (ii) *$\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ is chain-complete with respect to the induced order; thus it has the least element $\perp_{\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F})}$ and, in particular, $\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset$ (Markowsky);*
- (iii) *if elements of \mathcal{F} are chain-continuous, then*

$$\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) = \{\sup\{\varphi(x) \mid \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \mid x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})\}, \tag{1}$$

and, in particular,

$$\perp_{\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F})} = \sup\{\varphi(\perp) \mid \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}. \tag{2}$$

In the case when the family \mathcal{F} is a singleton, we obtain the corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $f : X \mapsto X$ be an isotone mapping on a chain-complete poset (X, \preceq) . Then*

- (i) *if X is a complete lattice, then $\mathbf{Fix}(f)$ is a complete lattice with respect to the induced order; thus so it has the least element $\perp_{\mathbf{Fix}(f)}$ and, in particular, $\mathbf{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$ (Tarski),*
- (ii) *$\mathbf{Fix}(f)$ is chain-complete with respect to the induced order; thus it has the least element $\perp_{\mathbf{Fix}(f)}$ and, in particular, $\mathbf{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$ (Markowsky),*

(iii) if f is chain-continuous, then (Kleene)

$$\perp_{\mathbf{Fix}(f)} = \sup\{f^n(\perp) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad (3)$$

(iv) if f is chain-continuous, then

$$\mathbf{Fix}(f) = \{\sup\{f^n(x) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \mid x \preceq f(x)\}. \quad (4)$$

2. Proofs

Assertion (i) of theorem 1 is contained in [3, theorem 2], assertion (ii) of theorem 1 is contained in [4, theorem 10]. Let us turn to the proof of the assertion (iii) of theorem 1.

First, we note that, by virtue of [8, p. 33] (see also [4, corollary 2]) and of chain-completeness condition, the set X is a complete poset. From the chain-continuousness of elements of \mathcal{F} it follows that they are isotone in (X, \preceq) :

$$(x \preceq y) \Rightarrow (f(x) \preceq f(y)) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (5)$$

By induction (5) implies the isotonicity of elements in $\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$ with respect to relation \preceq :

$$(x \preceq y) \Rightarrow (\varphi(x) \preceq \varphi(y)) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}). \quad (6)$$

In addition, as the family \mathcal{F} is commutative, so is the family $\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$:

$$\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}). \quad (7)$$

Let us prove the equality

$$\text{Ext}(\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})) = \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}). \quad (8)$$

Since $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$, the embedding $\text{Ext}(\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})) \subset \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$ takes place. Let us show the converse inclusion. Let $x' \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$. We prove the inequality $x' \preceq \psi(x')$ for all $\psi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$ by induction by the number of elements consisting ψ (by the "length" of ψ). The induction base (for the "length" 1) follows directly from the choice of x' . Let the inequality $x' \preceq \varphi(x')$ hold for all $\varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$, with the "length" not exceeding $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\psi' \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$ have the "length" $k + 1$. Therefore, ψ' has the form $g\varphi'$, where $g \in \mathcal{F}$, $\varphi' \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$ and the "length" of φ' is equal to k . By the induction hypothesis, we have $x' \preceq \varphi'(x')$. Then, by virtue of (5), we obtain $g(x') \preceq g\varphi'(x') = \psi'(x')$ and, in addition, by the choice of x' , we have $x' \preceq g(x')$. By transitivity of \preceq , from the last two relations we obtain the inequality $x' \preceq \psi'(x')$. Since ψ' is chosen arbitrarily, we have $x' \in \text{Ext}(\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}))$. Since the choice of x' is arbitrary, the embedding $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Ext}(\text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}))$ holds. This completes the proof of (8).

Property (8) implies the fact that the set $\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$ is directed for any $x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$. Indeed, using (6), (7), and (8), we have

$$\psi(x) \preceq \psi(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(\psi(x)), \quad \varphi(x) \preceq \varphi(\psi(x)) \quad \forall \psi, \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}) \quad \forall x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Note that there are the same items in the right-hand side of these inequalities. Consequently, for all $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}$ the two-element sets $\{\varphi(x), \psi(x)\}$ has majorant. Using the

transitivity of the relation \preceq we can extend this property by induction to an arbitrary finite subset of X . Thus, the set $\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$ is directed for any $x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$. Hence, since (X, \preceq) is complete poset, for any $x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$, there exists $\sup\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$. The set $\text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$ is not empty, since $\perp \preceq f(\perp)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus, the right-hand side of (1) is well defined and non-empty set.

Let $x' \in \mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$. Then, obviously, $x' \preceq f(x')$ and $x' = \varphi(x')$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$. Therefore, $x' \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$ and $x' = \sup\{x'\} = \sup\{\varphi(x') : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$. That is, $x' \in \{\sup\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \mid x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})\}$ and by virtue of the arbitrary choice of x' we have

$$\mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \{\sup\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \mid x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})\}. \quad (9)$$

Let us show the converse inclusion. Let u be an arbitrary element of the right-hand side of (9): $u = \sup\{\varphi(\bar{x}) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$, where $\bar{x} \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$. Let $g \in \mathcal{F}$. By the condition of assertion (iii) g is chain-continuous and, in addition, poset X is chain-complete, and, so, strictly inductive (that is, every non-empty chain has the least upper bound). Therefore, for an arbitrary directed set $D \subset X$, holding in mind [4, corollary 3], we have the equality

$$g(\sup D) = \sup\{g(x) : x \in D\}. \quad (10)$$

By virtue of the choice of \bar{x} , the sets $\bar{D} := \{\varphi(\bar{x}) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$ and $\{g(x) : x \in \bar{D}\}$ are directed; thus there exist the least upper bounds $\sup \bar{D}$ and $\sup\{g(x) : x \in \bar{D}\}$, which, because of (10) and the embedding $\{g(\varphi(\bar{x})) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \subset \{\varphi(\bar{x}) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$, satisfy the relations

$$g(u) = g(\sup \bar{D}) = \sup\{g(x) : x \in \bar{D}\} \preceq \sup \bar{D} = u. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, because of \bar{x} choice, we have $\bar{x} \preceq g(\bar{x})$, and, by means of (6) and (7), we get $\varphi(\bar{x}) \preceq \varphi(g(\bar{x})) = g(\varphi(\bar{x})) \forall \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$. In other words, $y \preceq g(y) \forall y \in \bar{D}$. Then, once again using (10), we get

$$u = \sup \bar{D} = \sup\{x : x \in \bar{D}\} \preceq \sup\{g(x) : x \in \bar{D}\} = g(\sup \bar{D}) = g(u). \quad (12)$$

From (11), (12) it follows that $g(u) = u$. Due to the arbitrary choice of g we have $u \in \mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$. Since u is chosen arbitrarily we obtain the embedding

$$\{\sup\{\varphi(x) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \mid x \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})\} \subset \mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}),$$

which in conjunction with (9) gives the desired equality (1).

We turn to the proof of (2). Let $x' \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$. By definition of \perp and (6) it holds that $\varphi(\perp) \preceq \varphi(x') \forall \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$. By the choice of x' the set $D' := \{\varphi(x') : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$ is directed in (X, \preceq) . Since (X, \preceq) is a complete poset, there exists $\sup D'$. Of course, $\varphi(x') \preceq \sup D' \forall \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})$. From the last two inequalities we have

$$\varphi(\perp) \preceq \sup D' \quad \forall \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F}),$$

that is, $\sup D'$ is a majorant of the set $\{\varphi(\perp) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$. By virtue of $\perp \in \text{Ext}(\mathcal{F})$, the set $\{\varphi(\perp) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$ is ordered. Hence, there exists $\sup\{\varphi(\perp) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\}$ and, by definition of the least upper bound, we have the inequality

$$\sup\{\varphi(\perp) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \preceq \sup D'.$$

Since x' is chosen arbitrarily and due to (1), we have

$$\sup\{\varphi(\perp) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \in \mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}).$$

$$\sup\{\varphi(\perp) : \varphi \in \text{Iter}(\mathcal{F})\} \preceq u \quad \forall u \in \mathbf{Fix}(\mathcal{F}).$$

The relations imply the desired equality (2). Proof of theorem 1 completed.

To substantiate corollary 1, we note that assertions (i) and (ii) of the corollary are a special cases of assertions (i) and (ii) of theorem 1, respectively. Equalities (3) and (4) are a special cases of equalities (2) and (1), respectively.

References

1. Krasovskii N.N., Subbotin A.I. *Game-Theoretical Control Problems*. New York, Springer-Verlag Inc., 1988.
2. Chentsov A.G. [On the Game Problem of Pursuit at a Given Moment]. *Matematicheskiiy sbornik*, 1976, vol. 99 (141), no. 3, pp. 394–420. (in Russian)
3. Tarski A. A Lattice-Theoretical Fixpoint Theorem and Its Applications. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 1955, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 285–309.
4. Markowsky G. Chain-Complete Posets and Directed Sets with Applications. *Algebra Universalis*, 1976, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 53–68.
5. Ronse C. Lattice-Theoretical Fixpoint Theorems in Morphological Image Filtering. *Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision*, 1994, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 19–41.
6. Kantorovitch L. The Method of Successive Approximation for Functional Equations. *Acta Mathematica*, 1939, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 63–97.
7. Barendregt H.P. *Lambda Calculus. Its Syntax and Semantics*. Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1981.
8. Cohn P.M. *Universal Algebra*. New York, Harper and Row, 1965.

Dmitrii A. Serkov, doctor of physical and mathematical sciences, senior researcher, Krasovskii Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Ural Federal University named after B.N. Yeltsin, Ekaterinburg, Russian Federation, serkov@imm.uran.ru.

Received November 29, 2015

УДК 517.988.525, 517.977.8

DOI: 10.14529/jcem150407

ОБ АППРОКСИМАЦИИ СОВМЕСТНЫХ НЕПОДВИЖНЫХ ТОЧЕК

Д.А. Серков

Рассматривается семейство изотонных автоморфизмов частично упорядоченного множества. Известна теорема Альфреда Тарского о структуре множества совместных

неподвижных точек таких автоморфизмов на полной решетке. Эта теорема имеет несколько обобщений (см., например, работы Марковского или Ронза), ослабляющих требования на прядковую структуру и модернизирующих соответствующим образом утверждение в части структуры множества совместных неподвижных точек. Вместе с тем, замечен недостаток утверждений типа теорем Канторовича или Клини, описывающих эти неподвижные точки как пределы последовательностей степеней автоморфизмов. В статье приводятся условия на множество и семейство его автоморфизмов, при которых итеративные последовательности элементов рассматриваемого семейства аппроксимируют множество совместных неподвижных точек. Данный результат развивает в конструктивном направлении упомянутые утверждения Тарского, Марковского и Ронза.

Ключевые слова: совместные неподвижные точки; итерационный предел.

Литература

1. Krasovskii, N.N. Game-Theoretical Control Problems / N.N. Krasovskii, A.I. Subbotin. – New York: Springer-Verlag, 1988. – 517 с.
2. Ченцов, А.Г. Об игровой задаче сближения в заданный момент времени / А.Г. Ченцов // Математический сборник. – 1976. – Т. 99 (141), № 3. – С. 394–420.
3. Tarski, A. A Lattice-Theoretical Fixpoint Theorem and Its Applications / A. Tarski // Pacific Journal of Mathematics. – 1955. – V. 5, № 2. – P. 285–309.
4. Markowsky, G. Chain-Complete Posets and Directed Sets with Applications / G. Markowsky // Algebra Universalis. – 1976. – V. 6, № 1. – P. 53–68.
5. Ronse, C. Lattice-Theoretical Fixpoint Theorems in Morphological Image Filtering / C. Ronse // Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision. – 1994. – V. 4, № 1. – P. 19–41.
6. Kantorovitch, L. The Method of Successive Approximation for Functional Equations / L. Kantorovitch // Acta Mathematica. – 1939. – V. 71, № 1. – P. 63–97.
7. Barendregt, H.P. Lambda Calculus. Its Syntax and Semantics / H.P. Barendregt. – Amsterdam; New York; Oxford: North-Holland Publishing Company, 1981.
8. Cohn, P.M. Universal Algebra / P.M. Cohn. – New York: Harper and Row, 1965.

Серков Дмитрий Александрович, доктор физико-математических наук, ведущий научный сотрудник, Институт математики и механики им. Н.Н. Красовского УрО РАН; профессор, кафедра вычислительных методов и уравнений математической физики, Институт радиоэлектроники и информационных технологий, Уральский федеральный университет им. Б.Н. Ельцина, (г. Екатеринбург, Российская Федерация), serkov@imtm.uran.ru.

Поступила в редакцию 29 ноября 2015 г.